ISSN 3007-3170(O)
ISSN :3007-3162(P)
January-March 2025
Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review

Beyond Survival: Integrating Subterranean Bunker Infrastructure into Urban Planning for Reclaiming Civic Resilience

Muhammad Taimur Sarwar[1], Muhammad Zeeshan Zaheer[2], Bilal Haider [3]

[1]Associate Professor, Department of Architecture & Design Comsats University Islamabad, Lahore Campus
[2]Assistant Professor, Department of Architecture & Design Comsats University Islamabad, Lahore Campus
[3]Practicing Architect

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
Participatory Design, Geotechnical Resilience, Survivalist Urbanism, Embedded Intelligence, Subterranean Shelters, Subterranean Urbanism, Urban resilience. Post Covid New Normal.

Corresponding Author:
Muhammad Taimur Sarwar, Associate Professor, Department of Architecture & Design Comsats University Islamabad, Lahore Campus

ABSTRACT

At a time of geopolitical uncertainty, pandemics, and climate danger, underground bunkers have resurfaced not as Cold War artifacts but as vital civic infrastructure. This paper draws together lessons from the Vita-Subterra project in Pakistan, cultural theory in "Becoming Atomic," and technological vision in "Hidden Metropolis" to rethink the urban bunker. It advocates for the integration of self-reliant, inclusive, and peripherally linked bunkers within city master plans. Bunkers need to change from places of upper-class safe haven to an infrastructural safe and inclusive place accessible for all social classes, re-defining urban spaces.

Methodology

This research takes an interdisciplinary theoretical methodology integrating architectural precedent analysis, synthesis of cultural theory, and speculative design thinking. It uses primary and secondary architectural data related to resilience planning and geopolitical analysis indicating the significance of bunkers in 21st century urbanism.

1. Introduction: The Return of the Bunker

The twenty-first century has witnessed the resurgence of wars and fear, with urban spaces that haven't yet adapted to counteracting this dilemma. With drone attacks on civilian areas in Pakistan, India, Gaza, Iran, and Ukraine gathering momentum, and pandemics such as COVID-19 putting megacities to a halt, the facade of city safety is fast disintegrating. The cities that were earlier a melting pot of culture and vibrancy, these cities have now become a war zone, a site of disease, and one of psychological trauma. The rising uncertainty and instability in international peace and security highlights the need for secure areas in our city planning. Between Chakwal and Kyiv, the vulnerability of dense populations calls for instant spatial and strategic reconsideration. This paper proposes that bunkers once considered as relics of Cold War paranoia are now essential tools of civic resilience. These subterranean refuges should not be restricted to remote areas of military operation, instead they should be included as vital civic infrastructure. They should be accessible, and functionally used as a part of everyday city life. Rather than a reactive measure, their existence defines preparedness, continuity, and the right to live. In this new world of excessive and unwarranted warfare and international uncertainty, the bunker is not a choice, but a necessity.

2. From Cold War Relic to Urban Utility

Boyd and Linehan (2018) theorize the bunker not as an architectural typology but as a "cultural condition," heavily influenced by the epistemology of total war and modernist design rationality. Having developed in reaction to the existential dangers of the twentieth century, the bunker typology is based on principles of isolation, fortification, and exclusion of space. Its functional and aesthetic rigidity was a manifestation of a larger sociopolitical environment characterized by militarization, secrecy, and the primacy of state survival at the expense of individual welfare. Within this context, Virilio (1997) famously described the bunker as the very embodiment of twentieth century paranoia, an expression of the fear led logic that found protection in opacity, depth, and withdrawal from the public sphere. Yet, today's global threats from nuclear precariousness and environmental meltdown to pandemics and cyber warfare necessitate a new imagining of similar typologies. The bunker, initially intended for destruction, needs to be conceptualized for survival and urban safety. This entails a paradigm shift away from exclusion towards inclusion. Instead of serving as an architectural solution to fear, the bunker needs to be reimagined as an active tool for urban continuity and social cohesion.

The Vita-Subterra conceptual model represents this conceptual shift, through the incorporation of sustainable technologies, modular infrastructure, and psychologically responsive spatial design. It rethinks the bunker as a habitable, participatory, and regenerative space. Elements such as natural daylighting systems, vertical agriculture, and communal shared zones not only discourage the isolating effects conventionally associated with subterranean architecture, but also instills a sense of public ownership and civic belonging. Vita-Subterra counteracts the determinist stories about bunker architecture, situating it instead as a proactive typology to deal with the multi-faceted vulnerabilities of urban modernity.

Therefore, the bunker can no longer be considered merely as an artifact of war-time rationality. Its architectural possibilities have to be rethought in terms of urban resilience, environmental sustainability, and human centered design. The shift from rigid militaristic infrastructure to inclusive underground habitat, is a reorganization not just of spatial categories but of an overarching ideological shift- a shift that affirms preservation over paranoia, openness over opacity, and life over fear.

3. Global Conflicts and Urban Vulnerabilities

The escalation of intra-regional conflicts in South Asia, the Middle East and Eastern Europe highlights the imperative shortcomings of surface-based urban defense strategies. Over recent years, cities have progressively become active conflict zones, as opposed to remaining secure areas. The ongoing drone attacks on Pakistan, India, Iran, the artillery attacks in Kharkiv, and Palestine demonstrates how the new warfare circumvents conventional battlefield frontiers and targets civilian infrastructure directly. Cities that were originally planned for business and society are now forced to endure military-grade threats for which they were infrastructural never designed.

In the South Asian political dynamics, and more specifically in Pakistan, this susceptibility has been recognized in a retrospective manner. As tensions between Pakistan and India have recently escalated, various city authorities of Pakistan began conducting emergency mapping activity. This included locating large scale basements situated under factories, storage facilities, and commercial godowns throughout the urban areas of Lahore, Karachi, and Rawalpindi. These underground spaces, while not intentionally constructed for the required purpose, were provisionally reserved as possible shelters for civilians in the case of an all-out war. This makeshift approach, although practical in the short run, reveals a deep void in preventive city resilience-planning. It demonstrates, not just the lack of specialized civil defense infrastructure but also the reactive, piecemeal character of state readiness against intensified military threats.

At the same time, the world experience of the COVID 19 pandemic has highlighted another dimension of urban vulnerability: the biological risk. Hospitals performing beyond their capacities interrupted supply lines, and the failure to implement long term quarantine procedures at such a large scale above ground areas exposed the fundamental weaknesses of our current healthcare and logistics infrastructure. The intertwined character of modern threats, military and biological, requires a fundamental reconceptualization of the spatial character of cities and how they are defended.

This intersection of high-tech conflict and public health emergencies requires a new urban infrastructure typology, one that is embedded, flexible, and resilient. Underground spaces, long ignored or set aside for utilities and parking, need to be rethought as critical civic resources. These areas provide not just physical shelter from air and ballistic attacks but also environmental consistency critical to maintaining life during pandemics or long-term crises. They can be built to accommodate triage areas, emergency logistics centers, communication command posts, and residential shelters protected from outside contamination or destruction.

In conclusion, the weaknesses exposed by both geopolitical turmoil and pandemics attest that a conventional surface based urbanism is no longer sufficient, but in fact the necessity for an integrated subterranean infrastructure is not only architectural or logistical, but an existential requirement. Without this spatial transformation, cities will be exposed to the global crises of the 21st century.

4. Subterranean Urbanism

The transformation of the 21st-century urban bunker follows two intersecting paths "the conceptual and the practical.". Conceptually, authors like Boyd and Linehan (2018) have remapped the bunker from the perspective of what they call "becoming atomic "a challenging appeal for a paradigmatic reorientation to how we understand subterranean architecture. Traditionally linked with secrecy, militarism, and authoritarian domination, bunkers were typologies of survival constructed for elite safeguarding and state control. Yet, within the new discourse on post crisis urbanism, these are being theorized as possible locations for civic rebirth and refuge that is inclusive and helps become resilient against systemic collapse whether ecological, epidemiological, or geopolitical.

This transformation requires a radical democratization of underground space. Bunkers can no longer be imagined as bastions of terror or exclusive retreats for the elite, but as public civic structures, open, flexible, and accessible to everybody. This reformation discourages the centuries old architectural and political wisdom of privilege and promotes transparency, fairness, and accessibility for everyone. Just like any other forms of public space, parks, libraries, or transit systems, underground facilities should be planned for integration into the urban commons instead of segregation from it.

Practically speaking, the concept of "bunker cities," as theorized in speculative imagination like Hidden Metropolis, is transforming from theoretical fiction to architectural reality. With the advent of disruptive technologies like renewable micro grid systems, AI-driven environmental monitoring, autonomous vertical farming, climate-controlled air and water recycling, fully operational underground living spaces are no longer science fiction. Such systems allow for self-sufficiency, operational resilience, and environmental insulation, making underground environments not merely survivable but livable and regenerative.

Furthermore, the development of parametric design and digital fabrication means that underground spaces can be different from their traditionally confined and brutalist shape. New forms, materials, and interfaces make such spaces conducive to psychological health, social interaction, and even beauty. These underground spaces are no longer characterized by restraint or rigidity, they are a new frontier of architecture that celebrates resilience, sustainability, and social connection.

By this, subterranean urbanism is not a backward return to war mentality but a forward-looking reaction to the imperative of the Anthropocene. Climate uncertainty, cyberwar, pandemics, and sabotaging infrastructures are not theoretical threats but real urban circumstances. Subterranean development should therefore be actively integrated into master planning, zoning regulations, and disaster resilience planning. These areas, when planned with foresight and inclusivity, provide protection as well as a redefinition of city life.

5. *Vita-Subterra* – A Conceptual Prototype for Subterranean Urbanism

Location: Chakwal District, Punjab, Pakistan (near Dharabi Dam)
Capacity: 3,000 residents | Autonomy: Designed for 5 years of self-sufficiency

The Vita-Subterra project is a prototype for breaking with militarized subterranean design and moving towards a civic-centered, sustainable underground habitation. Developed as a response to global threats from regional military instability, pandemics and climate driven disruptions, Vita-Subterra demonstrates the possibility of transforming subterranean infrastructure into a regenerative civic space. It is not just a shelter - it is an integrated living system that brings together architecture, engineering, and human psychology.

5.1 Design Imperatives: Architecture, Technology, and Psychology

Architectural Logic and Zoning

The internal design of the building is based on a tiered zoning rationale, reconciling security, accessibility, and functional segregation:

• Upper Floors: Isolation wards, R&D laboratories, medical quarantine areas, and command/control centers are positioned on the higher floors to allow for emergency entry and command of operations.

• Middle Floors: Reserved for general residential purposes, healthcare facilities, schools, and cultural facilities, encouraging social integration and communication.

• Lower Floors: Reserved for upscale residences, essential mechanical systems, food production (hydroponic farms, aquaponics), and secure storage, offering stability and depth-based protection.

• Zoning Hierarchy: It allows for stacked defense, internal circulation control, and modular compartmentalization in the event of breaches or contagion outbreaks.

5.2 Technical Systems Integration

The center utilizes a hybrid infrastructure model that integrates passive resilience and cutting-edge automation:

•HVAC: Zoned heating, ventilation, and air conditioning with HEPA and NBC filters. Passive air flows facilitated through vertical light wells and thermal shafts.

•Lighting: Fiber optic light transmission using solar energy and heliostat domes, ensuring natural spectrum lighting and diurnal rhythm cycling.

•Security Systems: Three-tiered biometric security system controls access between zones. CCTV and sensor networks operate throughout public and key private spaces, with manual override systems in place for redundancy.

•Communications: Duplicate satellite uplinks and buried optical fiber backbone EMP-protected server rooms guarantee communication integrity in extreme risk scenarios.

5.3 Psychological Architecture

Embracing the psychological impact of extended underground living, the design incorporates deliberate measures to maintain good mental health:

•Natural Light Simulation: Daylight channels of fiber optics reproduce natural sunlight, managing circadian rhythms.

•Green Zones: Community orchards and indoor vertical gardens are double-duty oxygenators and therapy spaces.

•Social and Cultural Hubs: Special areas for worship, education, leisure, and socialization to counteract loneliness and promote community building.

•Private Modular Units: Configurable smart living pods are created to house family units and include privacy and flexibility.

6 Urban Planning Integration: Zoning, Accessibility, and Policy Recommendations

The incorporation of subterranean resilience infrastructure into comprehensive urban planning structures requires systemic reforms in zoning regulations, development incentives, and civil defense policy.

6.1 Master Plan Inclusion

In order to institutionalize subterranean resilience:

•Resilience Zones: Urban master planning should require shelter provisions for high density areas, with spatial overlays of zoning for subterranean civic shelters.

•Utility Integration Corridors: Multi-use tunnels should not only transport electricity and fiber optics but also enable the quick deployment of logistics during emergencies.
•Dual access Infrastructure: Strategic facilities like hospitals, city command centers, and emergency schools should feature surface and subsurface ingress and egress modes.

6.1 Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs)
A successful resilience response will require collaboration among state governments and private developers:
•Private Investment: Luxury "survival condominiums," high security underground data centers, and cryogenic storage facilities may cross subsidize public infrastructure.
•Public Utility: Government-led initiatives should ensure that civic shelters and underground command HQs are available and accessible.
•Policy Incentives: Urban developers can be encouraged by Floor Area Ratio (FAR) bonuses, tax relief, and fast-track approvals for underground development.

6.2 Accessibility and Equity Planning
Ensuring equitable access in a time of crisis is crucial for discouraging social fragmentation:
•Geographic Distribution: Resilience shelters should be placed every 5–10 kilometers within risk metropolitan areas.
•Smart Entry Systems: Merging biometric gates with real-time occupancy dashboards can enable structured access during emergencies.
•Priority Algorithms: Algorithms should triage prioritization of access for vulnerable populations: the elderly, medical professionals, frontline civil servants, and socioeconomically disadvantaged communities.

Technical Infrastructure and Load Metrics
In order to guarantee the long-term operation of an underground facility like Vita-Subterra, comprehensive infrastructure modeling must be provided for population, energy, water, and agricultural systems.

Population and Resource Load Estimates

Category	Value
Population Capacity	3,000 persons
Operational Duration	5 years
Drinking Water (Total)	5.475 million liters
Total Water Use (Estimated)	15–18 million liters
Food Production Area	1.42 acres per floor (6 total)
Avg. Power Load	~620–650 kVA peak
Diesel Backup Storage	5-year sealed tank reserve

HVAC and Energy Load Distribution

Zone	Estimated HVAC Load (kW)

Zone	Estimated HVAC Load (kW)
Residential	110–150 kW
Medical & Quarantine	60–70 kW
Agricultural	80–100 kW
Servers & Command Center	30–40 kW
Total Peak HVAC Load	~350–400 kW

7. Food and Water Systems

- Hydroponic Yield: Approximately 0.6 to 0.8 kg per capita/day, adequate for basic caloric needs.
- Protein Provision: Via poultry farms, aquaponics (fish tanks with integrated irrigation), and rationed meat storage.
- Water Recycling Efficiency: Projected at 70 to75%, primarily through closed-loop greywater filtration and atmospheric condensation systems.

  Communications and Surveillance Infrastructure
- Latency Control: Redundant satellite, optical fiber channels ensure minimal communication lag.
- Surveillance Coverage: 100% public corridor CCTV with AI motion tracking.
- Server Reliability: EMP hardened server rooms with 99.9% uptime target and redundant cooling and power supply.

8. Ethical and Socio-political Challenges

The moral validity of underground infrastructure does not only depend on its engineering effectiveness but also on its inclusiveness. With the rise of imminent global dangers, the comeback of bunkers as instruments of civilian safeguarding poses severe socio-political challenges. Foremost among them is the danger that survival becomes a privileged commodity instead of a collective right. Once constructed and used mainly by political elites or the rich, these facilities will reinforce the prevailing class disparities and further aggravate spatial and existential inequalities of urban societies.

Masco 2009 conceptually sets up bunkers as "mnemonic devices" architectural forms that are more than shelter; political values inscribed in collective memory, while being constituted into the built environment. In this sense, bunkers are not neutral or passive, their visibility, accessibility, and governance speak greatly about the priorities of the societies that construct them. If hidden, exclusive, and opaque as a system of governance, they will represent a logic of elite survivalist and exclusion. On the other hand, if they are public, transparent, and accessibly designed, they can represent collective responsibility and collective responsibility.

In order to counter the bunker's historical congruence with privilege and secrecy, there needs to be a new design ethic, one that is based upon justice, transparency, and civic solidarity. This demands a multi-scalar approach:

•Community-Scale Units: Instead of state or corporate controlled mega structures that concentrate at the center of the city, urban resilience planning should focus on distributed, neighborhood scale shelters that are located within residential areas. Smaller units are more reachable during crises and simpler to sustain democratically.

•Transparent Governance: Civil defense infrastructure needs to be governed by participatory mechanisms. Policy decisions on access, maintenance, drills, and upgrades need to be made by involving local communities, civil society, and public health institutions. Technocratic secrecy needs to yield to civic trust.

• Inclusive Design Standards: The design of underground environments must be responsive to the complete range of human difference across such factors as age, disability, gender, health status, and cultural practice. Accessibility is not just physical but procedural: there should be clear procedures in place to provide priority access for frontline workers, elderly, disabled, and low-income groups.

In addition, symbolic openness of bunkers is imperative. Unlike their Cold War counterparts, contemporary civic bunkers cannot be concealed or entombed in bureaucratic obscurity. During peacetime, they must have multiple roles, as sites for community centers, clinics, schools, or cultural institutions thus becoming a part of the ordinary urban landscape. Their visibility above ground, even if only partial or symbolic, upholds the message that protection is not a privilege for some but a duty for everyone. Without such an ethical shift, bunkers become architectures of abandonment, visible reminders of who deserve to be saved and who does not. Conversely, when equally designed and regulated, they can be tools of social solidarity and symbols of a future where preparedness and brotherhood are not contradictory.

9. Global Precedents in Subterranean Resilience

Many nations have applied the concept of bunker integration into urban spaces- Switzerland requires all citizens to have provisions for shelters; similarly, South Korea's subway stations serve as provisional bomb shelters; Singapore's CT shelters incorporate a makeshift civil defense into their metro systems. These examples show the possibility and imperative of instilling resilience into everyday urban infrastructures.

10. Future of Urban Resilience

The idea of urban resilience is changing very quickly, with the emerging crisis of climate change, geopolitical uncertainty, pandemics, and ecological deterioration that test the sufficiency of conventional spatial paradigms. As cities confront rising sea levels, scarcities, and systemic shocks, the "Shelter Logic" is being redefined not just as defensive separation, but as an active system of ecological, technological, and social integration.

Over the next few decades, technological and systemic thinking will revolutionize the form, function, and extent of underground and eco-urban infrastructure. Bunkers, which were traditionally a symbol of static defense, will evolve to become dynamic and smart habitable spaces that not resist collapse but act as an insurance for the city to continue acting as a catalyst of opportunities and remain a social hub.\

11. Emerging Transformations in Shelter Logic

• AI Governed Agriculture and Utilities:

Artificial intelligence will be used to maximize subterranean facilities for energy consumption, caloric generation, and environmental control. Closed loop geoponic and hydroponic farms, machine learning algorithm controlled, will provide stable caloric output with negligible input of resources. Climate control and predictive maintenance, which will be AI driven, will render such shelters energy neutral and self-sustaining, able to adjust to internal behavioral cycles and external environmental cues in real time.

•Bioengineered Food and Synthetic Ecosystems:

Synthetic biotechnology and genetic engineering will allow the production of personalized food sources, cultured meat, and oxygen-producing algae systems designed for confined environments. These engineered ecosystems will overcome the line between biology and architecture, developing living infrastructures that are self-healing, adaptable, and regenerative fundamental characteristics for extended-duration habitation.

•Underwater and Orbital Shelters:

As planetary pressures mount, the concept of resilience may be expanded beyond earth. Subaqueous environments under oceans or great lakes might be climate-controlled refuges that are protected from surface dangers. At the same time, orbital shelter and space-based settlements, the province of speculative science fiction and privatized space exploration today, might become strategic resources for planetary survival. These refuges would not only guarantee elite survival but also pose fundamental ethical questions regarding post planetary justice and access.

These changes indicate that the future of the city will not be solely surface oriented. The resilient city will have depth and height extending underground, underwater, and into low earth orbit. While the Earth system is increasingly turbulent, the scale of architectural response will need to scale up to address both the biosphere scale of crisis and the cosmopolitan scale of resilience.

12. Toward a New Resilience Paradigm

The rethinking of shelter is not a technological innovation but a philosophical and ethical one. Resilience has to be conceptualized from a reactive state to a design of a dynamic, adaptable, proactive, anticipatory epistemology that shapes the architecture, policy, and sociology of cities to come. Underground and space-based habitats are no longer marginal experiments; they can become necessary spatial typologies in a world where the surface of the planet itself is undermined.

Shelter in this newly emerging paradigm is no longer just for survival; it is a stewardship of life. Whether embedded in the bedrock of an urban landscape or orbiting above the face of this planet, future resilient infrastructures need to conserve not only life but humanity as well, maintaining continuous dignity, equity, and ecological responsibility.

13. Conclusion: Building Cities from the Bedrock Up

Bunkers, traditionally linked to secrecy, war, and survivalist, need to be reframed as constitutive elements of a new urban model, one that sees resilience not as a response to disaster but as a design principle, a policy, and a public ethic. Amidst growing planetary uncertainties from kinetic warfare to climate meltdown the 21st-century city must break free from its surface limitations. The city of the future will not only grow outward and upward, but also inward and downward, infusing resilience into its very geologic strata.

This is not a flight into the underground as a place of dread, but an architectural reorientation to layered, multi-level urbanism. As skyscrapers in the past reimagined verticality as a marker of human advancement, so too can underground infrastructure reimagine depth as a place of care, continuity, and civic stewardship. In this vision, bunkers are not a negation of urban existence but a reinvented infrastructure that can accommodate not just survival mechanisms but also education, administration, cultural manifestation, and ecological renewal.

To achieve this transformation, three interdependent imperatives must guide future urban design:

•Strategic Foresight:
Planning should shift away from crisis management towards long term forward systems that address intricate known and unknown risks. This means bringing geotechnical resilience, energy independence, and adaptive land use frameworks into master planning and policy.

•Cultural Reflection:
Underground architecture needs to be infused with memories of common memory and common purpose. As Masco (2009) and others have convincingly argued, the spatial configurations we build during times of uncertainty become political imagination markers. Bunkers need to be symbols of democratic values, not paranoid fantasies of elites; they need to be mnemonic spaces of care, not confinement.

•Equitable Design:
Resilience cannot be the privilege of one section of society. It has to be shared, inclusive, and equitable. Underground shelters both civic and private, have to be ruled by distributive policies that uphold social equality, intergenerational legacy, and defend vulnerable communities. In that way, these places not only become defensive but also affirming.

In the end, the "build from the bedrock up" is not solely a metaphor for fortification, it is a demand to reconstitute the material and moral foundations of urban civilization. In a century that holds out the threat of volatility in biological, ecological, and geopolitical realms alike, the underground provides not an escape route but a new frontier: a site to try out the resilient, inclusive, and adaptive cities the future will require.

The bunker, here reinvented, is no longer an architecture of abandonment. It is a ship of hope embedded in the Earth, but stretching out toward a more civilized horizon. Participatory Design and Community Simulation Subsequent civic bunkers have to be designed through participatory public consultation. Drills at the community level, feedback loops, and educational simulation can generate familiarity and trust in underground infrastructure. Citizen co-design adds not just usability but psychological acceptance in times of crisis.

References:

Boyd, G. A., & Linehan, D. (2018). Becoming atomic: The bunker, modernity and the city. *Architectural Research Quarterly*, 22(3), 241–255. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1359135518000581

Carmody, J., & Sterling, R. (1993). *Underground Space Design*. Van Nostrand Reinhold.

Durmisevic, S. (2010). The future of underground space: A new urban layer. *Urbanism Portal*.

FEMA. (2003). *Design Guidance for Shelters and Safe Rooms*. FEMA 453. U.S. Department of Homeland Security.

Friedman, A. (2012). *Fundamentals of Sustainable Dwellings*. Island Press.

Hens, H. (2012). *Building Physics: Heat, Air and Moisture*. Ernst & Sohn.

Hunt, D. V. L., Rogers, C. D. F., Jefferson, I., & Dawson, R. J. (2014). Livable cities and urban underground space. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 38, 339–348.

Jangir, A. (2024). Bunker cities: The hidden metropolises of survival. *Planning Tank*. https://planningtank.com/city-insight/bunker-cities-the-hidden-metropolises-of-survival

Kibert, C. J. (2016). *Sustainable Construction: Green Building Design and Delivery* (4th ed.). Wiley.

Labbé, M. (2013). Architecture of underground spaces: Challenges and opportunities. *Archnet-IJAR*, 7(2), 84–100.

Masco, J. (2009). Life underground: Building a bunker society. *Anthropology Now*, 1(2), 13–29.

Qian, Q. (2016). Safety risk management of underground engineering in China. *Procedia Engineering*, 165, 79–86.

Sassi, P. (2006). *Strategies for Sustainable Architecture*. Routledge.

Sterling, R. L. (2012). Planning and design of underground space. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*, 30, 1–3.

Virilio, P. (1997). *Bunker Archaeology* (G. Collins, Trans.). Princeton Architectural Press.

Vale, L. J., & Campanella, T. J. (2005). *The Resilient City: How Modern Cities Recover from Disaster*. Oxford University Press.

UNDRR. (2019). *Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction*. United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction.

NCPC. (2004). *Designing and Testing of Perimeter Security Elements*. National Capital Planning Commission.

Lee, E. H., Park, H., & Kim, J. (2018). Social aspects of working in underground spaces. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 55, 11–22.

Swayze, J. (1980). *Underground Gardens & Homes: The Best of Two Worlds, Above and Below*. Geobuilding Systems.