

# Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review

## VOLUME. 1, ISSUE. 1 2023

### Social Media: A Comprehensive Overview, Development, and Dissection

Shahid Anwar Zeeshan

PhD Scholar Department of Political Science the UOP at-  
[Zeshananwar543@gmail.com](mailto:Zeshananwar543@gmail.com)

Muhammad Farooq

PhD Scholar Department of Political Science the [UOPat-farooq6573@gmail.com](mailto:UOPat-farooq6573@gmail.com)

#### Abstract

In what ways can regulations, separations, and modifications be made to actions that concern to communication through social media? Despite the fact that experts believe that their technological expertise provides them with a natural understanding of social media, there is no definition of social media that is universally accepted in the field of communication studies currently in existence. This encompasses consequences that are both theoretical and practical in nature. As a result of a lack of information, there is a dearth of insightful conversations concerning the benefits and drawbacks of social media. An original definition of social media is presented in this study, which takes into account the aforementioned technologies and continues to be applicable in the year 2035. Literature from a variety of fields, including public relations, information technology, management, and popular press, as well as contemporary definitions of social media and its subcategories, which include social network sites, are incorporated into the book. In the following section, we will investigate the recent developments in social media applications, consumers, and features that deserve careful consideration in the conceptualizations that will be developed in the future. When it comes to the hazards that users and communicators may not be aware of when using unstable social media platforms, the paper concludes by presenting a detailed list and categorization of those concerns. As a result of our investigation into the imaginative ways in which social media may be utilised to uncover and assess communication principles, we are able to improve our comprehension of the relationships that exist between individuals, as well as between humans and technology. As a result of this study, a comprehensive framework has been established, which will act as a benchmark for subsequent research in the field of communication.

**Keywords-** Social Media, Comprehensive Overview, Development, and Dissection

#### Introduction

A comprehensive description of social media should be provided. Regarding the precise definition of social media, it is quite improbable that two people will be able to come



**Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review**  
**VOLUME. 1, ISSUE. 1 2023**

to an agreement. In spite of this, it is highly likely that a group of individuals will be able to provide a compilation of social media sites, particularly Facebook and Twitter. There is a lack of consensus on the specific definition of social media, which is especially problematic in multidisciplinary studies. This is despite the fact that there is a general agreement on the technologies that are included under the umbrella term "social media." One of the factors that contributes to the complexity of research on social media is this particular component. Because of a variety of factors, social media is utilised extensively across a variety of business sectors. In spite of the fact that we might have a general understanding of its nature, it might be difficult to provide a thorough description of how it functions.

A further point to consider is that when we explain social media by referring to specific examples, it significantly hinders our capacity to develop ideas that may be applicable in a universal sense. This can be seen in the relevance of a theory of interaction on Twitter, which is limited to the technology of Twitter and the conversation between users through messaging; it cannot be extended to other media platforms. This is an example of how this can be noticed. The value of the theory is further diminished as a result of this.

With the help of this special issue, we hope to lay the groundwork for future study on social media that will be conducted over the course of the next twenty years. There is a lot of difficulty involved in establishing a consensus-based definition of social media that can be utilised to identify which communication technologies are included and which are not included. In the coming decades, a plethora of communication devices will be developed in tandem with the development of ground-breaking technological and societal advancements. The concepts that were discussed earlier are consistent with the communication equipment that is included here. As stated by Shoemaker, Tankard, and Lasorsa (2003), the meaning of the concept can be effectively communicated through the use of a conceptual definition that is both rational and unambiguous. Taking into consideration the fleeting nature of social media platforms and services, this is of utmost significance. In order to construct hypotheses, it is essential to have a fundamental understanding of social media, which is offered by this idea. It is easier to construct concepts that are consistent and applicable across a wide range of academic subjects and settings when there is a single description that can be used (Hempel, 1966). To accomplish this, it is necessary to conduct an exhaustive investigation of the interconnection that occurs between a number of different



**Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review**  
**VOLUME. 1, ISSUE. 1 2023**

concepts.

In 2003, Shoemaker and colleagues conducted a study that was completed under the title "Social Media: Definition, Evolution, and Development." Because there is no universally accepted definition of the term "social media," it is not possible to carry out an exhaustive study on the inner workings and external repercussions of social media across a variety of areas or in the relationships between them. To be more specific, we argue that the initial step should be to gain an understanding of the relationship that exists between a communication medium and the information that it conveys. Ledbetter (2014) asserts that the study of communication requires a more comprehensive theoretical framework in order to be successful. On the other hand, researchers encountered challenges because there is no definitive definition of social media that encompasses not just the tools that are presently in use but also those that will be developed in the future but have not yet been created. As a result of this limitation, the foundation upon which theories and subsequent scholarly inquiries could be constructed was severely confined. The source of this predicament is the absence of a comprehensive definition of social media, which encompasses social media technologies that are presently widely used as well as those that will appear in the future but have not yet been acknowledged.

This essay will attempt to provide a thorough definition of social media that will serve as a guide for interdisciplinary social media studies over the course of the next twenty years from now. It provides an analysis of the several definitions and features that are currently in use. In the year 2035, this makes the debate that is already going on about whether or not theory is compatible with social media even more heated. A detailed explanation of social media is presented at the beginning of the article, which is then followed by an in-depth analysis of the ways in which developments in technology, society, and communication will impact and eventually regulate future interactions that take place online.

In conclusion, we conduct an analysis and evaluation of the numerous ways that the discipline ought to use by the year 2035 in order to develop, validate, and implement theories that are associated with social media. At the end of the day, this work has the potential to serve as a foundation for more research that investigates the repercussions of communication through social media systems in greater depth.

Which hypotheses on social media are currently in existence? Leave out

There are numerous academic fields that have provided a variety of interpretations for



**Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review**  
**VOLUME. 1, ISSUE. 1 2023**

the term "social media." Some of these fields include information science, public relations, mass communication, and communication studies. This article provides an analysis, expansion, and comprehension of the Dead Centre. Determining, developing, and dividing social media is the subject of the book titled "Running Head." A comprehensive explanation of the concept of "social media" is provided here. The findings of the research carried out by Terry (2009) and Kaplan and Haenlein (2010) provide an instance of this point. For example, two-way communication or the manner in which interaction patterns are displayed on particular platforms such as Facebook or Twitter are two examples of the many distinct facets of social media that have been the subject of numerous different stories that have been published about social media. It is still difficult to find a definition of social media that is universally acknowledged, precise, and succinct, particularly when it comes to definitions from academic disciplines (Effing, van Hillegersberg, & Huibers, 2011; Kaplan & Haenlein, 2010; Xiang & Gretzel, 2010). This is despite the fact that there are multiple definitions of social media. It is possible that progress could be hampered by the absence of a generally accepted understanding of a concept that serves as a guiding principle for both theory and research (Hempel, 1966). There are substantial discrepancies in the ways that social media is defined and interpreted across a variety of academic fields, particularly with regard to the complexity, extent, and utility of social media.

A number of key definitions highlight traits that are associated with the generation of communications on social media. According to Russo, Watkins, Kelly, and Chan (2008) (page 22), the term "social media" refers to platforms that enable online communication, networking, and/or cooperation. Also included in this definition are social media platforms. According to Kaplan and Haenlein (2010), the term "social media" refers to a collection of web apps that make it easier for users to create and share content that they have generated themselves. The technological and intellectual concepts that underpin Web 2.0 are the foundation upon which these apps are built. According to Lewis (2010), the phrase "social media" is merely a term that is used to characterise digital technologies that enable users to connect with one another, engage with one another, produce content, and share content. However, this statement is not entirely accurate. The definitions that have been provided up until this point are flawed because they do not take into account the distinctive technological and sociological characteristics that define social media. In addition, they are equally applicable to traditional channels of communication such as electronic mail.



**Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review**  
**VOLUME. 1, ISSUE. 1 2023**

According to Howard and Parks (2012), there are two distinct categories of "content": (a) content that is present in the digital realm and includes personal communications, news, ideas, and other forms of content; and (b) content that covers the information infrastructure and tools that are utilised for the purpose of creating and disseminating content.

Cultural assets are entities, such as organisations, organisations, and individuals, that are active in the creation and usage of digital content (p. 362). These entities include individuals, corporations, and organisations. They contend that the majority of the academic work that has been done on social media has focused primarily on certain platforms, such as Facebook and YouTube, rather than digging into the complexities and opportunities that social media presents as a whole notion. By concentrating solely on a single instrument, one may be unable to investigate the larger societal ramifications of that instrument, hinder the development of novel theoretical frameworks, and restrict the instrument's application to descriptive research.

Both Whisper and Snapchat provide services that are long-lasting since their channels are always accessible, despite the fact that individual messages that are sent through them may become invalid after a predetermined amount of time has passed. The mission is to ensure that this briefing is completely different from the ridiculous ones.

Enhancement of engagement in order to produce an image that will last

Our argument is that the ability to monitor user involvement is what genuinely characterises this platform, in contrast to prior definitions (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2010; Kent, 2010) that assert that user contact is required for social media.

Despite the fact that digital agents, algorithms, and other mechanical aspects are prevalent in the environment of the internet, consumers continue to look for a physical experience in order to associate themselves with a social medium. The primary purpose of a social media platform is to foster, enhance, or promote the connections amongst the users of the platform. When a person maintains meaningful and active relationships with other people, multiple sorts of social connections are possible for the user to experience.

The use of algorithms for communication will become increasingly important to humans as the complexity of computer programmes and virtual agents continues to increase. Advanced response programmes are algorithms, which mimic human behaviour in their responses to messages and stimuli (Rafaeli, 1988; Sundar, 2007). Algorithms are a type of intelligent computer system. On the other hand, algorithms



**Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review**  
**VOLUME. 1, ISSUE. 1 2023**

are limited in the variety of responses that they can have to the messages and stimuli that they are asked to process. Although it is possible that celebrities such as Luke Spencer and Kim Kardashian will not respond to the tweets they post on Twitter, it is likely that their followers will still feel a feeling of social connection with them (Lueck, in press). The result is an improvement in all aspects of communication, including nonverbal communication, conversations, and cooperation. In addition to this, social networking sites have the capacity to facilitate According to Lin and Lin (2014), the medium itself has the ability to facilitate communication, even in circumstances in which these kinds of interactions might not occur normally. Researchers have found that the use of geocentric services such as Foursquare and Tinder may give users the sense that they are connecting with other people in a particular location, such as a public park or an airport terminal, even if they are not actually chatting to those people. The simple act of being conscious of the individuals in one's immediate environment has the ability to produce a sense of personal fulfilment. It is important to note that users of social media have the perception that they are communicating with real people, even when their interactions do not conform to the conventional definition of interaction (Rafaeli, 1988). This is a remarkable characteristic of social media.

People who use something are the major source of value.

This is because the value of social media is not derived from the content that is provided by the network administrator or organisation, but rather from the contributions and interactions that users have with one another. It is possible that official content will be used to replace or enhance user contributions when promotional activities are being carried out. In spite of the fact that a company may produce and disseminate a public service announcement (PSA), the influence of peer interactions, as well as the significance and utility of user-generated comments regarding the PSA, may exceed the message that was intended (Walther, DeAndrea, Kim, & Anthony, 2010). Conversations between users are absolutely necessary in order to maintain user engagement with the content over an extended length of time.

The question "Who is responsible for determining the allocation of value in an online tool?" is one of the first questions that comes to mind.

Generally speaking, we concur with Shirky (2010) that the value of a service is determined by the people who use it. Furthermore, we are in agreement that the incorporation of content into a website carries with it a number of inherent benefits that enhance the website's popularity and usefulness. Users have the potential to



**Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review**  
**VOLUME. 1, ISSUE. 1 2023**

define, build, and differentiate social media platforms, according to the Adaptive Structuring Theory (AST), which was developed by Desanctis and Poole in the year 1994. Thirteen individuals enthusiastically or cynically embrace technology, exploiting it in ways that are both unexpected and predicted. As was discovered within the context of AST, users may ironically use an antisocial platform for social aims by developing and disseminating content that was not initially intended for such platforms. This is something that may be detected. Because of the lively conversations that take place in the comments section underneath posted photographs, users have begun to utilise imgur.com for political activism and journalism (Pearce, 2014). This is despite the fact that the primary aim of the website is to facilitate the sharing of images. In light of this, it may be difficult to arrive at comprehensive judgements regarding the aspects that determine the success of a media.

**References**

- Agichtein, E., Castillo, C., Donato, D., Gionis, A., & Mishne, G. (2008, February 11). Finding high-quality content in social media. Paper presented at the the international conference on Web search and web data mining WSDM'08, Palo Alto, CA.
- Alperstein, N. M. (1991). Imaginary social relationships with celebrities appearing in television commercials. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 35, 43-58. doi: 10.1080/08838159109364101
- Ancona, D., & Chong, C.-L. (1996). Entrainment: Pace, cycle, and rhythm in organizational behavior. In B. M. Staw & L. L. Cummings (Eds.), *Research in organizational behavior: An annual series of analytical essays and critical reviews* (Vol. 18, pp. 251-284). Greenwich, CT: JIA Press.
- Anonymous. (1998). To reveal or not to reveal: A theoretical model of anonymous communication. *Communication Theory*, 8, 381-407. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2885.1998.tb00226.x
- Atzori, L., Iera, A., & Morabito, G. (2010). The Internet of Things: A survey. *Computer networks*, 54, 2787-2805. doi: 10.1016/j.comnet.2010.05.010
- Bailenson, J. N., Yee, N., Blascovich, J., & Guadagno, R. E. (2008). Transformed social interaction in mediated interpersonal communication. In E. A. Konin, S. Utz, M. Tanis & S. B. Barnes (Eds.), *Mediated interpersonal communication* (pp. 77-99). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Bainbridge, W. S. (2007). The scientific research potential of virtual worlds. *Science*, 317, 472-476. doi: 10.1126/science.1146930
- RUNNING HEAD: DEFINING, DEVELOPING, & DIVINING SOCIAL MEDIA 33
- Berger, C. R. (1991). Communication theories and other curios. *Communications Monographs*, 58, 101-113. doi: 10.1080/03637759109376216
- Berners-Lee, T., Hendler, J., & Lassila, O. (2001, May 17). The semantic Web. *Scientific*



**Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review**  
**VOLUME. 1, ISSUE. 1 2023**

American, 29-37. Bohnert, D., & Ross, W. H. (2010). The influence of social networking web sites on the evaluation of job candidates. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 13, 341-347. doi: 10.1089/cyber.2009.0193

Bollen, J., Mao, H., & Zeng, X. (2011). Twitter mood predicts the stock market. *Journal of Computational Science*, 2, 1-8. doi: 10.1016/j.jocs.2010.12.007

boyd, d. m., & Ellison, N. B. (2007). Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 13, 210-230. doi: 10.1111/j.1083-6101.2007.00393.x

Camerer, C. F. (2003). *Behavioral game theory: Experiments in strategic interaction*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Carr, C. T., Hayes, R. A., Smock, A., & Zube, P. (2013, November). It's getting more complicated: Facebook users' political participation in the 2012 election. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Communication Association, Washington, D.C.

Carr, C. T., & Stefaniak, C. (2012). Sent from My iPhone: The Medium and Message as Cues of Sender Professionalism in Mobile Telephony. *Journal of Applied Communication Research*, 40, 403-424. doi: 10.1080/00909882.2012.712707

RUNNING HEAD: DEFINING, DEVELOPING, & DIVINING SOCIAL MEDIA 34

Carr, C. T., & Walther, J. B. (2014). Increasing attributional certainty via social media: Learning about others one bit at a time. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 19, 922-937. doi: 10.1111/jcc4.12072

Carr, C. T., & Zube, P. (in press). Network autocorrelation of task performance via informal communication within a virtual world. *Journal of Media Psychology*.

Carr, C. T., Zube, P., Dickens, E., Hayter, C. A., & Barterian, J. A. (2013). Toward a model of sources of influence in online education: Cognitive learning and the effects of Web 2.0. *Communication Education*, 62, 61-85. doi: 10.1080/03634523.2012.724535

Daft, R. L., & Lengel, R. H. (1984). Information richness: A new approach to managerial information processing and organizational design. In B. Straw & L. L. Cummings (Eds.), *Research in organizational behavior* (Vol. 6, pp. 191-233). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Davis, J. L., & Jurgenson, N. (2014). Context collapse: Theorizing context collusions and collisions. *Information, Communication & Society*, 17, 476-485. doi: 10.1080/1369118X.2014.888458

Dean, T. (2002). *Guide to telecommunications technology*. Boston, MA: Cengage Learning.

DeSanctis, G., & Poole, M. S. (1994). Capturing the complexity in advanced technology use: Adaptive structuration theory. *Organizational Science*, 5(2), 121-147. doi: 1047-7039/94/0502/0121

DiMicco, J. M., Millen, D. R., Geyer, W., & Dugan, C. (2008, November). Research on the use of social software in the workplace. Paper presented at the Computer Supported Collaborative



**Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review**  
**VOLUME. 1, ISSUE. 1 2023**

Work (CSCW) workshop on Social Networking in Organizations, San Diego, CA.

RUNNING HEAD: DEFINING, DEVELOPING, & DIVINING SOCIAL MEDIA 35

Effing, R., van Hillegersberg, J., & Huibers, T. (2011). Social media and political participation: are facebook, twitter and YouTube democratizing our political systems? In E. Tambouris, A. Macintosh & H. de Bruijn (Eds.), *Electronic Participation* (pp. 25-35). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Ellison, N. B., Vitak, J., Steinfield, C., Gray, R., & Lampe, C. (2011). Negotiating privacy concerns and social capital needs in a social media environment. In S. Trepte & L. Reinecke (Eds.), *Perspectives on Privacy and Self-Disclosure in the Social Web* (pp. 19- 32). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Harrop, W., & Armitage, G. (2005, November). Defining and evaluating greynets (sparse darknets). Paper presented at the The IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks (30th Anniversary), Sydney, Australia.

Hayes, R., & Carr, C. T. (in press). Does being social matter? The effect of enabled comments on credibility and brand attitude in social media. *Journal of Promotion Management*.

Hempel, C. (1966). *Philosophy of natural science*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Howard, P. N., & Parks, M. R. (2012). Social media and political change: Capacity, constraint, and consequence. *Journal of Communication*, 62, 359-362. doi: 10.1111/j.1460- 2466.2012.01626.x

Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. *Business Horizons*, 53(1), 59-68. doi: 10.1016/j.bushor.2009.09.003

Kent, M. L. (2010). Directions in social media for professionals and scholars. In R. L. Heath (Ed.), *Handbook of Public Relations* (2nd ed., pp. 643-656). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

RUNNING HEAD: DEFINING, DEVELOPING, & DIVINING SOCIAL MEDIA 36

Kent, M. L. (2013). Using social media dialogically: Public relations role in reviving democracy. *Public Relations Review*, 39, 337-345. doi: 10.1016/j.pubrev.2013.07.024

Knoke, D., Bohrnstedt, G. W., & Mee, A. P. (2002). *Statistics for social data analysis* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Cengage Learning.

Korzenny, F. (1978). A theory of electronic propinquity: Mediated communication in organizations. *Communication Research*, 5, 3-24. doi: 10.1177/009365027800500101

Krämer, N. C., von der Pütten, A. M., & Eimler, S. C. (2012). Human-agent and human-robot interaction theory: Similarities to and differences from human-human interaction. In M. Zacarias & J. de Oliveira (Eds.), *Human-Computer Interaction: The Agency Perspective* (pp. 215-240). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Kuhn, T. (1996). *The structure of scientific revolutions* (3rd ed.). Chicago, IL: The University of Chicago Press.

Ledbetter, A. M. (2014). The past and future of technology in interpersonal communication theory and research.



**Social Sciences & Humanity Research Review**  
**VOLUME. 1, ISSUE. 1 2023**

Communication Studies, 65, 456-459. doi: 10.1080/10510974.2014.927298 Lewis, B. K. (2010). Social media and strategic communication: Attitudes and perceptions among college students. *Public Relations Journal*, 4(3), 1-23. Li, Z., & Li, C. (2014). Twitter as a social actor: How consumers evaluate brands differently on Twitter based on relationship norms. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 39, 187-196. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2014.07.016 Lim, S., & Reeves, B. (2010). Computer agents versus avatars: Responses to interactive game characters controlled by a computer or other player. *International Journal of HumanComputer Studies*, 68, 57-68. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhcs.2009.09.008 RUNNING HEAD: DEFINING, DEVELOPING, & DIVINING SOCIAL MEDIA 37 Lindqvist, J., Cranshaw, J., Wiese, J., Hong, J., & Zimmerman, J. (2011, May). I'm the mayor of my house: Examining why people use foursquare-a social-driven location sharing application. Paper presented at the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, Vancouver, BC, Canada. Littlejohn, S. W., & Foss, K. A. (2005). *Theories of human communication* (9th ed.). Belmont, CA: Thompson-Wadsworth. Lochrie, M., & Coulton, P. (2011, November). Mobile phones as second screen for TV, enabling inter-audience interaction. Paper presented at the the 8th International Conference on Advances in Computer Entertainment Technology, Lisbon, Portugal. Lueck, J. A. (in press). Friend-zone with benefits: The parasocial advertising of Kim Kardashian. *Journal of Marketing Communications*. doi: 10.1080/13527266.2012.726235 Marwick, A. E., & boyd, d. (2011). I tweet honestly, I tweet passionately: Twitter users, context collapse, and the imagined audience. *New Media & Society*, 13(1), 114-133. doi: 10.1177/1461444810365313 McGrath, J. E. (1991). Time, interaction, and performance (TIP): A theory of groups. *Small Group Research*, 22(2), 147-174. doi: 10.1177/1046496491222001 McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's mass communication theory* (6th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. Mikal, J. P., Rice, R. E., Kent, R. G., & Uchino, B. N. (2014). Common voice: Analysis of behavior modification and content convergence in a popular online community. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 35, 506-515. doi: 10.1016/j.chb.2014.02.036 Nass, C., Steuer, J., & Tauber, E. R. (1994, April). Computers are social actors. Paper presented at CHI94, Boston, MA. RUNNING HEAD: DEFINING, DEVELOPING, &